Cornerstone – Hire from Search Appointment Form

Hire from Search Appointment Form Overview

- Please note, this form must be fully approved before an offer is made.

- The Hire from Search appointment form summarizes the search process. The documentation must explain why the proposed appointee was selected over others, and for each person interviewed (i.e., finalists and semi-finalists), an explanation for why they were not selected to move forward must be included as well.

- Reasons for selection and non-selection must be based on specific job-related criteria including experiences, skills, qualifications, interview performance and references. Vague statements such as “not a good fit” or “best qualified”, are not sufficient explanations. (An example of selection and non-selection statements can be found at the end of this document.)

- Reference check information should be included as well. Reference check guidance can be found at: reference checking resources. If IHR has given approval to proceed without references, please note this on the form in the section for missing documents.

- In addition, documentation of the recruitment and selection process, which is required for record keeping purposes and affirmative action compliance, will need to be attached to the form. This includes copies of advertisements, a good faith efforts spreadsheet, a copy of the applicant screening/evaluation criteria and a copy of the interview questions/criteria. Applicant statuses and dispositions must be updated in the Cornerstone requisition. Please see the applicant statuses and dispositions job aid for additional information.

- If you have any questions, please contact ihr-hrais@illinois.edu.

How to Access the Cornerstone System:

1. Open internet browser and go to: apps.uillinois.edu
2. Select Cornerstone - Urbana (found on the Human Resources card)
3. Log in to Cornerstone using your net ID and password
How to Submit Appointment Form

1. In the top right corner, click the three white lines to open the Navigation Menu

![Show Navigation Menu](image)

2. Go to Additional Resources, click the arrow, and then select Useful Information

![Additional Resources](image)

3. Click on Appointment Form

![Useful Information](image)

4. Click on Fill out new form and proceed to complete the form (fields with a red asterisk* are required)

![Appointment Form](image)
5. **Proposed Appointee: Is the proposed appointee a current employee?** – Select Yes or No (If yes, please enter the employee UIN or Net ID)

6. **Click Next and proceed to complete the form (fields with a red asterisk * are required)**

   a. **Cornerstone Requisition ID** *
   b. **Proposed Title** – must match what was approved on the job description.
   c. **Proposed Date of Appointment**
   d. **Percent Time of Appointment**
   e. **Proposed Salary Offer** *
   f. **Appointee First Name** *
   g. **Appointee Last Name** *
   h. **Applicant Email** – If the appointee is not a current employee, the email address must match the email address the applicant provided on their application.
i. **Type of Appointment** * – Click arrow to open drop-down menu and select *Academic Hourly Appointment*

j. **Current Employee Group** – Used for current employees only; leave blank for external hires.

k. **New Employee Group** * – Click arrow to open drop-down menu and select employee group

l. **Secondary Contact for Approval Notifications**

7. **Click Next and proceed to complete the form (fields with a red asterisk* are required)**

   a. **Hire From Search (Summary/Narrative)**

   Please complete the below questions for the proposed appointee selected via an external or internal search process. If you have a summary/narrative document that is responsive to the following questions, please attach it using the Choose File button below and type “see attached” in the relevant response fields.
b. **Proposed Appointee Justification** *

Please describe why the proposed appointee was selected over all other finalists. The explanation should include specific details regarding the appointee’s qualifications, skills, experiences, performance during the interview, and references that led to the selection decision. Please include a minimum of two to three sentences.

![Proposed Appointee Justification](image)

**Proposed Appointee Justification Instructions:** Please describe why the proposed appointee was selected over all other finalists. The explanation should include specific details regarding the appointee’s qualifications, skills, experiences, performance during interview and references, that led to the selection decision. Please include a minimum of two to three sentences. [Link to Job Aids]

c. **If the search committee recommendation for hire is different from that of the hiring manager, please explain**

![Search Committee Recommendation](image)

**Search Committee Recommendation Instructions:** If the search committee recommendation for hire is different from that of the hiring manager, please explain.

d. **Non-selected Finalist Summary** *

For each finalist that did not advance in the search, please provide the interview date, and describe the reason(s) they were not selected to receive an offer at this time, such as specific skills, experiences, performance during the interview, references, etc. that they lacked in comparison to the proposed appointee. Please avoid vague statements such as “not a good fit”. Include a minimum of one sentence for each non-selected finalist.

If there were no finalists (other than proposed appointee), please provide an explanation. Topics to address could include if the department considered reposting the announcement, if this was a specialized positions for which a small pool was anticipated, information regarding why qualified applicants where not interviewed, or any other information that would be relevant to clarify why only one person was interviewed.

![Non-selected Finalist Summary](image)

**Non-selected Finalist Summary Instructions:** For each finalist that did not advance in the search, please provide the interview date, and describe the reason(s) they were not selected to receive an offer at this time, such as specific skills, experiences, performance during interview, references, etc. that they lacked in comparison to the proposed appointee. If there were no other finalists, please provide an explanation in the box above. If any of the items below pertain to the explanation, please address them in your response:

- Did you consider re-opening the search for additional applications? If not, please state why.
- Is it a specialized position for which a small applicant pool was anticipated? If yes, please explain.
- If there were applicants who met the qualifications but were not selected to be interviewed, please briefly explain.
- Please include any other information you believe would be relevant to clarify the reason for only one person being interviewed.
e. **Non-Selected Semi-Finalist Summary**

For each semi-finalist that did not advance in the search, please provide the interview date and a statement describing the reason(s) they were not selected as a finalist, such as specific skills, experiences, performance during the interview, references, etc., that made them less qualified in comparison to those selected as finalists. Please avoid vague statements such as “not a good fit”. Include one statement for each non-selected semifinalist. If there were no semi-finalists, enter N/A.

![Non-Selected Semi-Finalist Summary](image)

f. **Reference Checks**

Click the Add Reference Checks Row button, then select Choose File and upload a copy of reference check notes/emails. If letters of reference were collected as part of the application process, skip this field.

![Reference Checks](image)

![Reference Checks Instructions: Reference checks are required for all hires. If you collected letters of reference in Cornerstone, you can skip this field. Please upload copies of each completed reference check form. Sample Reference Check Form](image)


g. **Reference Letters Already Attached to Applicant Profile**

Click this box if the reference letters were already attached to the applicant’s profile in the Cornerstone requisition. If you need to attach reference check forms, uncheck this box and upload your documents in the space above.

![Reference Letters Already Attached to Applicant Profile](image)

![Reference Letters Already Attached to Applicant Profile Instructions: Click this box if the reference letters were already uploaded to the applicant’s profile in the C300 requisition. If you need to attach reference check forms, uncheck this box and upload your documents in the space above.](image)
h. Is this a Civil Service classification that requires a college degree or specific college coursework? * - Select Yes or No

**Note:** If yes, a copy of the appointee's transcript must be attached to the HRFE transaction for this appointment.

![Is this a Civil Service classification that requires a college degree or specific college coursework?](image)

i. **Search Documentation** – Please check the box next to the document type and follow the prompt to upload the relevant document(s).

![Search Documentation](image)

j. **Copies of Advertisements**

For any ads that were manually placed, check the first box, and please attach a copy of the full posting. If an ad was posted automatically (e.g., Illinois Job board, HigherEdJobs, etc.) a copy is not required. If no additional ads were placed (beyond the automated sources), please check the second box.

![Copies of Advertisements](image)

k. **Completed Good Faith Efforts (GFE) Spreadsheet**

Please note, for positions with a placement goal, good faith efforts are required. The best practice recommendation is to conduct good faith recruitment efforts for all vacancies. In some instances, IHR conducts recruitment efforts on behalf of the department. If the unit conducted GFE, check the first box to attach the completed spreadsheet. If no GFE were conducted by the hiring unit, please check the second box.

![Completed Good Faith Efforts Spreadsheet](image)
l. **Applicant Screening/Evaluation Criteria**

If the department developed the applicant screening/evaluation criteria used to assess applications to determine who was selected for interviews, check the first box to attach a copy of the document. If IHR conducted the credential review and the hiring unit did not develop criteria, please check the second box.

m. **Interview Questions/Criteria**

Check the box and attach a copy of the interview questions/criteria. If there was both a semi-finalist and a finalist round of interviews, please include the questions/criteria used during each round.

n. **Applicant Statuses and Dispositions Updated**

For more information, please go to the [Job Aids page](#) and view the “Applicant Statuses and Dispositions” document. All applicants not being selected for an offer should be in the “Closed/Dispositioned” status and an appropriate disposition code assigned.

o. If any of the (above) items are missing or not completed, please explain. If this documentation was uploaded on a previous appointment form for this same search, please identify the form (appointee name) to which these documents were attached. Please note, that if any items are missing and no explanation is provided, the form could be returned to the department, which will slow down the approval process.
p. **Select Next Approver (Department)** * – Enter the NetID of the Department Approver

q. **Selected College for Approval** * – use the drop-down menu to find and select your college

8. **Click Next**

9. **Confirmation** – Your form information has been entered. To confirm the submission, check the box next to “check here to confirm submission” and then click Save. If you need to go back to make corrections, or exit and return later, you can click Previous.

**Example #1**

**Proposed Appointee Justification:**

- Maria Ortez – Interviewed 9/13/22 – Maria was the most proactive candidate, having prepared very well and offering thoughtful and relevant suggestions for improvements to the functions of the position. She meets all minimum and preferred qualifications. She has eight years of media communications experience, significant marketing management experience in both the private sector and higher education, as well as experience supervising staff and writing external funding proposals. All three references checked for her were exceptional.
Non-Selected Finalist Summary:

Following the finalist interviews, the committee decided on two additional candidates as acceptable for the position. If the preferred candidate declines an offer, the next two choices would be acceptable hires in the following order as listed. The other finalist is not hirable.

- Ellen Wilson – Interviewed 9/12/22 - Ellen is a strong candidate and would be the committee's second choice. Ellen’s experience is not as closely aligned as the selected candidate. She showed evidence of excellent writing, design, and project management skills. She also has a strong background in marketing. Compared to the proposed appointee, Ellen has less overall experience in media communications and does not have the preferred experience with external funding proposals.

- Steve Johnson – Interviewed 9/13/22 – Steve is also a strong candidate but has fewer years of experience than the leading two candidates and would be the third choice. He has experience in marketing, writing and design. He was not as prepared for the interview as others and was not able to explain how some aspects of his previous work experience was transferrable to this position.

- Jack Harris – Interviewed 9/12/22 – While Jack appeared to be qualified on paper, after the interview, it was determined he would not be recommended for hire. He has experience in media communications, but it was an entry level position. He also has some experience with marketing but did not manage any of the projects. He did not demonstrate a strong motivation for this specific position and was very negative about past employers.

Non-Selected Semi-Finalist Summary:

- Donna Williams – Interviewed 9/1/22 – Donna was not able to articulate how her previous work experiences prepared her for this position.

- Linda Smith – Interviewed 9/1/22 – Although supervisory experience was on Linda’s resume, upon further discussion it was discovered she had only overseen projects but had not done other types of supervisory duties, which is a requirement for this position.

- Bob Jackson – Interviewed 9/2/22 – Bob joined the zoom meeting 20 minutes late and did not provide an explanation. He also used cuss words and spoke of previous colleagues in a discriminatory manner.
Example #2

Proposed Appointee Justification:

- Tera Johnson – Interviewed 1/13/23 – Tera was the only finalist to meet at least three of the preferred qualifications. She was able to clearly articulate experience working in HR that prepared her for this role.

Non-Selected Finalist Summary:

- Courtney Roberts – Interviewed 1/12/23 – Courtney has several years of HR experience but struggled to communicate her background and qualifications. Even when asked to elaborate, she did not provide additional information.